

Does Liquidity Affect the Price Discovery Process in Credit Derivatives Markets

Discussant: Neil Kellard (University of Essex)

December 2009

What's done?

- Extending the Garbade and Silber (Rev.Econ.Stat - 1983) model of price discovery to allow for agent participation in more than one market.

What's done?

- Extending the Garbade and Silber (Rev.Econ.Stat - 1983) model of price discovery to allow for agent participation in more than one market.
- Employing a Gonzalo and Granger (JBES - 1995) methodology and their permanent- transitory decomposition to calculate price discovery metrics for the CDS, ASP and bond markets.

What's done?

- Extending the Garbade and Silber (Rev.Econ.Stat - 1983) model of price discovery to allow for agent participation in more than one market.
- Employing a Gonzalo and Granger (JBES - 1995) methodology and their permanent- transitory decomposition to calculate price discovery metrics for the CDS, ASP and bond markets.
- Daily data from Nov 2005 to Sept 2008. 50 Eurobonds, ASPs and CDSs denominated in Euros. Sample was split in July 2007 to give pre-crisis and crisis sub-periods.

What's done?

- Extending the Garbade and Silber (Rev.Econ.Stat - 1983) model of price discovery to allow for agent participation in more than one market.
- Employing a Gonzalo and Granger (JBES - 1995) methodology and their permanent- transitory decomposition to calculate price discovery metrics for the CDS, ASP and bond markets.
- Daily data from Nov 2005 to Sept 2008. 50 Eurobonds, ASPs and CDSs denominated in Euros. Sample was split in July 2007 to give pre-crisis and crisis sub-periods.
- Using a DCC-GARCH model to estimate the correlations between innovations from the three markets.

What's done?

- Extending the Garbade and Silber (Rev.Econ.Stat - 1983) model of price discovery to allow for agent participation in more than one market.
- Employing a Gonzalo and Granger (JBES - 1995) methodology and their permanent- transitory decomposition to calculate price discovery metrics for the CDS, ASP and bond markets.
- Daily data from Nov 2005 to Sept 2008. 50 Eurobonds, ASPs and CDSs denominated in Euros. Sample was split in July 2007 to give pre-crisis and crisis sub-periods.
- Using a DCC-GARCH model to estimate the correlations between innovations from the three markets.
- Using a OLS regression with correlated panels corrected standard errors, dynamic correlations are regressed on possible determinants including liquidity and risk measures.

What's found?

- DF tests show that credit spreads are $I(1)$ for the sub and full periods for 39 of the 50 cases.

What's found?

- DF tests show that credit spreads are $I(1)$ for the sub and full periods for 39 of the 50 cases.
- In 27 cases, Johansen tests show cointegration for the sub and full periods for ASP-CDS spreads and Bond-CDS spreads.

What's found?

- DF tests show that credit spreads are $I(1)$ for the sub and full periods for 39 of the 50 cases.
- In 27 cases, Johansen tests show cointegration for the sub and full periods for ASP-CDS spreads and Bond-CDS spreads.
- Pre-crisis, the price discovery metric (ASP vs CDS) for the 27 cases averaged 0.26 (suggesting the CDS market is the more efficient). During the crisis, the average rose to 0.38, indicating the ASP is relatively more efficient.

What's found?

- DF tests show that credit spreads are $I(1)$ for the sub and full periods for 39 of the 50 cases.
- In 27 cases, Johansen tests show cointegration for the sub and full periods for ASP-CDS spreads and Bond-CDS spreads.
- Pre-crisis, the price discovery metric (ASP vs CDS) for the 27 cases averaged 0.26 (suggesting the CDS market is the more efficient). During the crisis, the average rose to 0.38, indicating the ASP is relatively more efficient.
- In 39 cases, the price discovery metric (ASP vs bonds) averaged 0.97 for the whole periods, indicating the superiority of the ASP spread for assessing credit risk.

What's found?

- DF tests show that credit spreads are $I(1)$ for the sub and full periods for 39 of the 50 cases.
- In 27 cases, Johansen tests show cointegration for the sub and full periods for ASP-CDS spreads and Bond-CDS spreads.
- Pre-crisis, the price discovery metric (ASP vs CDS) for the 27 cases averaged 0.26 (suggesting the CDS market is the more efficient). During the crisis, the average rose to 0.38, indicating the ASP is relatively more efficient.
- In 39 cases, the price discovery metric (ASP vs bonds) averaged 0.97 for the whole periods, indicating the superiority of the ASP spread for assessing credit risk.
- Correlations between CDS innovations increase in the crisis period but bond/ASP innovations decrease. Correlations between CDS-ASP and CSD-Bonds also decrease.

What's found?

- DF tests show that credit spreads are $I(1)$ for the sub and full periods for 39 of the 50 cases.
- In 27 cases, Johansen tests show cointegration for the sub and full periods for ASP-CDS spreads and Bond-CDS spreads.
- Pre-crisis, the price discovery metric (ASP vs CDS) for the 27 cases averaged 0.26 (suggesting the CDS market is the more efficient). During the crisis, the average rose to 0.38, indicating the ASP is relatively more efficient.
- In 39 cases, the price discovery metric (ASP vs bonds) averaged 0.97 for the whole periods, indicating the superiority of the ASP spread for assessing credit risk.
- Correlations between CDS innovations increase in the crisis period but bond/ASP innovations decrease. Correlations between CDS-ASP and CSD-Bonds also decrease.
- **Liquidity and risk measures are significant explanatory variables for the DCC.**

Comments and Suggestions

- Nice paper!

Comments and Suggestions

- Nice paper!
- Other technology for the unit root test?

Comments and Suggestions

- Nice paper!
- Other technology for the unit root test?
- Interesting that in 23 of the 50 cases, there is no cointegration between the ASP and CDS market.

Comments and Suggestions

- Nice paper!
- Other technology for the unit root test?
- Interesting that in 23 of the 50 cases, there is no cointegration between the ASP and CDS market.
- Significance tests for the Gonzalo and Granger price discovery metric?

- Nice paper!
- Other technology for the unit root test?
- Interesting that in 23 of the 50 cases, there is no cointegration between the ASP and CDS market.
- Significance tests for the Gonzalo and Granger price discovery metric?
- Given the 'illiquid regime' theory of Acharaya and Schaefer (LBS WP - 2006), it's interesting that the innovation correlations for the ASP and bond markets decrease. There's a suggestion of a decrease in internal integration. Perhaps a test that the breaks are significant would be useful?

- Nice paper!
- Other technology for the unit root test?
- Interesting that in 23 of the 50 cases, there is no cointegration between the ASP and CDS market.
- Significance tests for the Gonzalo and Granger price discovery metric?
- Given the 'illiquid regime' theory of Acharaya and Schaefer (LBS WP - 2006), it's interesting that the innovation correlations for the ASP and bond markets decrease. There's a suggestion of a decrease in internal integration. Perhaps a test that the breaks are significant would be useful?
- The average DCC between inter-market shocks seems very small.

- Nice paper!
- Other technology for the unit root test?
- Interesting that in 23 of the 50 cases, there is no cointegration between the ASP and CDS market.
- Significance tests for the Gonzalo and Granger price discovery metric?
- Given the 'illiquid regime' theory of Acharaya and Schaefer (LBS WP - 2006), it's interesting that the innovation correlations for the ASP and bond markets decrease. There's a suggestion of a decrease in internal integration. Perhaps a test that the breaks are significant would be useful?
- The average DCC between inter-market shocks seems very small.
- **Extended dataset?**

- Nice paper!
- Other technology for the unit root test?
- Interesting that in 23 of the 50 cases, there is no cointegration between the ASP and CDS market.
- Significance tests for the Gonzalo and Granger price discovery metric?
- Given the 'illiquid regime' theory of Acharaya and Schaefer (LBS WP - 2006), it's interesting that the innovation correlations for the ASP and bond markets decrease. There's a suggestion of a decrease in internal integration. Perhaps a test that the breaks are significant would be useful?
- The average DCC between inter-market shocks seems very small.
- Extended dataset?
- **Trading/policy implications?**

The End