Dynamic Conditional Correlation with Elliptical Distributions

Matteo M. Pelagatti, Stefania Rondena
University of Milan - Bicocca

August 12, 2004

Abstract

The Dynamic Conditional Correlation (DCC) model of Engle has made the estimation of multivariate GARCH models feasible for reasonably big vectors of securities' returns. In the present paper we show how Engle's two-steps estimate of the model can be easily extended to elliptical conditional distributions and apply different leptokurtic DCC models to the evaluation of the Value at Risk (VaR) of a portfolio of realistic dimensions. A free software (Ox class) written by the authors to carry out all the required computations is presented as well.

1 Introduction

As Robert Engle has remarked in his Nobel prize lecture, multivariate GARCH (MV-GRACH) models have been only partially successful despite their great potential usefulness. The reasons for this are i) the fast growth of the number of parameters to estimate with respect to the number, k, of time series in the model, ranging from $O(k^4)$ in the unrestricted vech form (Bollerslev et al. 1988), to $O(k^2)$ in the standard BEKK (Engle and Kroner 1995) and in the diagonal vech, just to name the most cited MV-GARCH, ii) the difficulties of ensuring the positive definiteness of the conditional covariances in many MV-GARCH models and the lack of interpretation of the constrains suited to this end.

Bollerslev (1990) with his Constant Conditional Correlation GARCH (CCC) model and, more recently, Alexander (2001) with her Orthogonal GARCH (O-GARCH), have shown that a feasible way of estimating MV-GARCH models, applied to portfolios of realistic dimensions, is splitting the estimation in two steps, one of which is a sequential application of univariate GARCH models. Both of this models have, nevertheless, some drawbacks. The CCC model does not allow the correlations between securities vary over time, and this may be a not plausible restriction for many type of assets. The O-GARCH model, consisting in the application of univariate GARCH models to time series, orthogonalized through Principal Component Analysis based on the long run sample correlation, may be effective but is a "black box" technique, lacking of interpretation both for the coefficients and for the dynamics driving the conditional correlation evolution.

The Dynamic Conditional Correlation MV-GARCH (DCC) model of Engle (2002) preserves the ease of estimation of the CCC model through a two stage procedure, but allows for correlations to change over time. Furthermore, Engle and Sheppard (2001) derive the asymptotic distribution of the two stage estimates, making testing possible.

In the present work we show how the use of multivariate, fat tailed elliptical distributions instead of the normal density may improve the fit of DCC models to the vector of returns of many real financial assets. The elliptical DCC model is then used for the computation of the Value at Risk of portfolios with a realistic number of securities.

2 Review of elliptical distributions: definition and main properties

The m-dimensional random vector $\boldsymbol{X}$ is said to be distributed elliptically[1], symbolically $\boldsymbol{X} \sim \mathrm{EC}_m(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \phi)$, if its characteristic function may be expressed in the form

$$\mathrm{E}[\exp(i\boldsymbol{t}'\boldsymbol{X})] = \exp(i\boldsymbol{t}'\boldsymbol{\mu})\phi(\boldsymbol{t}'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{t}),$$

with $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ m-dimensional vector, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ definite positive $m \times m$ matrix, and $\phi(.)$ scalar function, referred to as *characteristic generator*.

We state without proof the principal properties of elliptical distributions, for a thorough treatment refer to Fang et al. (1990):

P1. if $X \sim \mathrm{EC}_m(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \phi)$ has a density, this has the form

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) = c|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} g\Big((\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\Big)$$

with $g(.)$ a scalar function, referred to as *density generator* and the notation $X \sim \mathrm{EC}_m(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, g)$ may also be used;

P2. suppose that $X \sim \mathrm{EC}_m(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \phi)$ possess k moments, if $k \geq 1$, then $\mathrm{E}(\boldsymbol{X}) = \boldsymbol{\mu}$, and if $k \geq 2$, then $\mathrm{Cov}(\boldsymbol{X}) = \gamma\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, with $\gamma = -2\psi'(0)$;

P3. if $X \sim \mathrm{EC}_m(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \phi)$, for any given $p \times m$ matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ with rank $p \leq m$ and any p-dimensional vector $\boldsymbol{b}$

$$\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{X} + \boldsymbol{b} \sim \mathrm{EC}_p(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{A}', \phi);$$

P4. if

$$\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{X}_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim \mathrm{EC}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{pmatrix}\right),$$

[1]An alternative name for elliptical distributions is *elliptically contoured distributions.*

then

$$\boldsymbol{X}_1|\boldsymbol{X}_2 \sim \mathrm{EC}\Big(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{X}_2 - \mu_2),\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21},\ \phi_{q(\boldsymbol{X}_2)}\Big),$$

where $\phi_{q(\boldsymbol{X}_2)}$ depends on the value assumed by $\boldsymbol{X}_2$ through the function $q(\boldsymbol{X}_2) = (\boldsymbol{X}_2 - \mu_2)'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{X}_2 - \mu_2)$;

P5. if we partition the vector $\boldsymbol{X}$ as above, then

$$\boldsymbol{X}_1 \sim \mathrm{EC}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}, \phi).$$

Remarks:

1. notice that it is always possible to rewrite an elliptical distribution with second moments so that $\psi'(0) = -1/2$ and $\mathrm{Cov}(\boldsymbol{X}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$;

2. the linear correlation matrix

   $$\boldsymbol{R} = \boldsymbol{D}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{D}^{-1},$$

   with $\boldsymbol{D}$ diagonal matrix with elements that are the square root of the elements on the diagonal of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, can be sensibly defined even when the second moment does not exist;

3. it can be easily verified that the normal distribution, Student's t and Laplace distribution are members of the class of elliptical distribution.

3 The elliptical DCC model

Let $\boldsymbol{r}_t$ be k-dimensional a vector process defined by

$$\boldsymbol{r}_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1} \sim \mathrm{EC}_k(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t, g), \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_t$ is the filtration on which $\boldsymbol{r}_t$ is adapted and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t$ is a positive definite $\mathcal{F}_{t-1}$-measurable dispersion matrix defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t = \boldsymbol{D}_t\boldsymbol{R}_t\boldsymbol{D}_t, \tag{2}$$

with $\boldsymbol{D}_t$ diagonal matrix defined by the recursion

$$\boldsymbol{D}_t^2 = \mathrm{diag}\{\omega_i\} + \mathrm{diag}\{\kappa_i\} \circ \boldsymbol{r}_{t-1}\boldsymbol{r}_{t-1}' + \mathrm{diag}\{\lambda_i\} \circ \boldsymbol{D}_{t-1}^2, \tag{3}$$

$\circ$ representing element by element multiplication, and with $\boldsymbol{R}_t$, conditional correlation matrix defined by the set of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\xi}_t &= \boldsymbol{D}_t^{-1}\boldsymbol{r}_t \\ \boldsymbol{Q}_t &= \boldsymbol{S} \circ (\boldsymbol{1}\boldsymbol{1}' - \boldsymbol{A} - \boldsymbol{B}) + \boldsymbol{A} \circ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{t-1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{t-1}' + \boldsymbol{B} \circ \boldsymbol{Q}_{t-1} \\ \boldsymbol{R}_t &= \mathrm{diag}\{\boldsymbol{Q}_t\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\,\boldsymbol{Q}_t\,\mathrm{diag}\{\boldsymbol{Q}_t\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Equation (3) is just a set of univariate GARCH models with parameters ω_i, κ_i and λ_i, $(i = 1, \ldots, k)$, applied to every element of the vector $\boldsymbol{r}_t$. Equation (4) controls the dynamics of the conditional correlation matrix $\boldsymbol{R}_t$ through the square symmetric matrices of parameters $\boldsymbol{S}$, $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$. Ding and Engle (2001) show that if $\boldsymbol{A}$, $\boldsymbol{B}$ and $(\boldsymbol{1}\boldsymbol{1}' - \boldsymbol{A} - \boldsymbol{B})$ are positive semi-definite and $\boldsymbol{S}$ is positive definite, then $\boldsymbol{Q}_t$ is also positive definite. In order to keep small the number of parameters to be simultaneously estimated, $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ are usually taken as scalars or set equal to $\boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}\boldsymbol{\alpha}'$ and $\boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{\beta}\boldsymbol{\beta}'$, with $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ k-dimensional vectors of parameters. For the same reason, $\boldsymbol{S}$, which can be shown to be the unconditional correlation matrix, is estimated using the sample correlation of the standardized residuals $\boldsymbol{\xi}_t$.

If in equation (1) we take an elliptical distribution with density, then it is easy to build the log-likelihood function

$$l(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\{ \log c_m - \frac{1}{2} \log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t| + \log g(\boldsymbol{r}_t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1} \boldsymbol{r}_t') \right\}, \tag{5}$$

which, for a moderate number k of assets, may be maximized by numerical methods. When the number of assets, and with it, the number of parameters is too large, then a three steps estimation procedure may be exploited to obtain consistent, asymptotically normal, although inefficient, estimates of the parameters.

1st step
Since the marginals of an elliptical distribution are elliptical distributions of the same family (property P2.), the parameters ω_i, κ_i and λ_i of the sequence of univariate GARCH models in equation (3) may be estimated by maximizing the k univariate likelihoods $\mathrm{EC}(0, \sigma_{ii}, g)$, for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Through the recursion (3) the matrices $\boldsymbol{D}_t$ and the standardized residuals, $\boldsymbol{\xi}_t = \boldsymbol{D}_t^{-1} \boldsymbol{r}_t$ may be estimated.

2nd step
The sample correlation matrix of the standardized residuals estimated in the first step is then used as estimate of the matrix $\boldsymbol{S}$ in equation (4).

3rd step
Using the estimated $\boldsymbol{D}_t$ and $\boldsymbol{S}$, the likelihood

$$l(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B}) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\{ \log c_m - \frac{1}{2} \log |\boldsymbol{R}_t| - \log |\hat{\boldsymbol{D}}_t| + \log g(\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_t \boldsymbol{R}_t^{-1} \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_t') \right\},$$

is maximized with respect to the parameters in $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ (usually the two scalars α and β).

Consistency and asymptotic normality of the 3-step estimates may be demonstrated exploiting the same results of Newey and McFadden (1994) used by Engle and Sheppard (2001). Let $\boldsymbol{\phi} = (\omega_1, \kappa_1, \lambda_1, \ldots, \omega_k, \kappa_k, \lambda_k)'$ be the parameters' vector of the first step, $\boldsymbol{\rho} = (s_{1,2}, \ldots, s_{1,k}, \ldots, s_{k,1}, \ldots, s_{k,k-1})'$ contain the unique elements of matrix $\boldsymbol{S}$, which are the 2nd step parameters, and $\psi = (\alpha, \beta)'$ be the vector of the parameters estimated in the 3rd step. Furthermore, let[2]

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{h}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) &= \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\{l_i(r_i, \omega_i, \kappa_i, \lambda_i)\}_{i=1,\dots,k} \\ \boldsymbol{h}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\rho}) &= \text{vech}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}' - \boldsymbol{S}) \\ \boldsymbol{h}^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\rho}, \psi) &= \nabla_{\psi} l_c(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\rho}, \psi), \end{aligned}$$

where $l_i(r_{i,t}, \omega_i, \kappa_i, \lambda_i)$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$, is the t-th contribution to the log-likelihood of the i-th univariate GARCH model (1st step) and $l_c(\boldsymbol{r}_t, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\rho}, \psi)$ is the t-th contribution to the log-likelihood of the 3rd step. Letting $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\boldsymbol{\phi}', \boldsymbol{\rho}', \psi')'$, the 3-step procedure can be cast in GMM form with sample "orthogonality" conditions

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{h}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{r}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \boldsymbol{0}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{r}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{h}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}_t, \boldsymbol{\phi}) \\ \boldsymbol{h}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{r}_t, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\rho}) \\ \boldsymbol{h}^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{r}_t, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\rho}, \psi) \end{bmatrix}$$

and the estimates are obtained by solving

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \left\{\boldsymbol{\theta} : \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{\theta})'\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right\}.$$

Since the system is just-identified with so many equations as parameters, the absolute minimum of the quadratic form (that is, 0) can be reached, and the orthogonality conditions relative to $\boldsymbol{h}^{(i)}$ are independent of those relative to $\boldsymbol{h}^{(i+j)}$ with j positive integer, the GMM estimate is equivalent to the 3-step estimate.

Now let

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}^{(1)} &= \mathrm{E}\left[\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\boldsymbol{h}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{\phi}_0)\right], \\ \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}^{(2)} &= \mathrm{E}\left[\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\boldsymbol{h}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{\phi}_0, \boldsymbol{\rho}_0)\right], \\ \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}^{(2)} &= \mathrm{E}\left[\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\boldsymbol{h}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{\phi}_0, \boldsymbol{\rho}_0)\right], \\ \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}^{(3)} &= \mathrm{E}\left[\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\boldsymbol{h}^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{\phi}_0, \boldsymbol{\rho}_0, \psi_0)\right], \\ \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}^{(3)} &= \mathrm{E}\left[\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\boldsymbol{h}^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{\phi}_0, \boldsymbol{\rho}_0, \psi_0)\right], \\ \boldsymbol{H}_{\psi}^{(3)} &= \mathrm{E}\left[\nabla_{\psi}\boldsymbol{h}^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{\phi}_0, \boldsymbol{\rho}_0, \psi_0)\right], \end{aligned}$$

the expected Jacobian matrix is given by

$$\boldsymbol{H} = \mathrm{E}\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}^{(1)} & \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}^{(2)} & \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}^{(2)} & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}^{(3)} & \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}^{(3)} & \boldsymbol{H}_{\psi}^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{6}$$

[2]The vech operator is used with a slightly different definition than usual: it is here defined as the operator that stacks the elements below the diagonal of a square matrix.

By adapting from Newey and McFadden (1994, theorem 6.1), under regularity conditions

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \xrightarrow{D} \mathrm{N}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{H}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Omega}\boldsymbol{H}^{-1}), \tag{7}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \mathrm{E}[\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)']. \tag{8}$$

Consistent estimates of $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ may be obtained by substituting expectations with sample means:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} = \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{r}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{r}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)',$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\boldsymbol{H}}_{\phi}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left[\nabla_{\phi}\boldsymbol{h}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}_t, \boldsymbol{\phi}_0)\right], \\ \dots \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{H}}_{\psi}^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left[\nabla_{\psi}\boldsymbol{h}^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{r}_t, \boldsymbol{\phi}_0, \boldsymbol{\rho}_0, \boldsymbol{\psi}_0)\right], \end{aligned}$$

as blocks of $\hat{\boldsymbol{H}}$.

4 The MultiGARCH object-class for Ox

Since the main advantage of the DCC-MVGARCH model over its competitors is the ease of estimation, even for a large number of assets, it is quite surprising that there is no published paper (as far as the two authors have found in the main econometric journals), in which the model is applied to portfolios of realistic size, in order to solve common financial problems such as optimal allocation and evaluation of the Value at Risk. Even in the cited articles of Engle the maximum number of assets used is four. This is probably due to the lack of a main-stream package or software-library implementing the model. The only econometric package (to our knowledge) that is about to be released in a new version with the DCC-MVGARCH model is RATS, although in the beta version we have tried, only standard maximum likelihood estimation was possible, and the maximum number of time series that we could successfully model war three!

In order to fulfill the promises of the DCC-MVGARCH and the practitioners' needs, we have written an object-class for Ox, which estimates DCC models with the 3-step procedure described above. At the moment the possible choices of conditional elliptical distributions are multivariate normal, multivariate Student's t[3]

$$f(\boldsymbol{r}_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}) = \frac{\Gamma\left[(\nu+m)/2\right]}{\left[\pi(\nu-2)\right]^{m/2}\Gamma\left(\nu/2\right)|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t|^{1/2}}\left[1 + \frac{\boldsymbol{r}_t'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1}\boldsymbol{r}_t}{\nu-2}\right]^{-\frac{\nu+m}{2}},$$

[3]We use a version of the multivariate Student's t with covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, instead of $\frac{\nu}{\nu-2}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$.

and multivariate Laplace,

$$f(\boldsymbol{r}_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}) = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{m/2}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t|^{1/2}}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{r}_t'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1}\boldsymbol{r}_t}{2}\right)^{\nu/2} K_\nu\left(\sqrt{2\boldsymbol{r}_t'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1}\boldsymbol{r}_t}\right).$$

where $K_\nu(.)$ is the modified Bessel function of third kind with index ν (Kotz et al. 2000, for instance).

We have tested the software using the daily log-returns of 20 high-capitalization shares listed in the Milan Stock Exchange, dating from January, the 1st 1999 to April, the 30th 2004. We have estimated the DCC-MVGARCH model with the three distributions trying different values for the Student's t degrees of freedom (DF). The normal and the Student's t DCC-MVGARCH (with at least 8.7 DF) converged quite quickly with relatively arbitrary starting points. When we used the conditional Laplace, even most of the univariate steps couldn't converge. Since the same problems were found using conditional Student's t with few DF, we have concluded that too leptokurtic densities and, thus, a too small number of tail observations, may make the likelihood too flat with respect to the parameters.

Table 1 reports the estimates and the log-likelihoods of the DCC-MVGARCH models with different conditional distributions (Student's t with a range of DF and Normal). According to the values of the log-likelihoods, the Student's t DCC-MVGARCH with 8.7 DF enjoys the best fit.

parameter	t(8.7)	t(8.8)	t(9)	t(10)	Normal
ω(ALLEANZA)	0.0779	0.0778	0.0778	0.0775	0.0651
κ(ALLEANZA)	0.1205	0.1203	0.1200	0.1189	0.1158
λ (ALLEANZA)	0.8651	0.8652	0.8652	0.8654	0.8798
ω(AUTOGRILL)	0.2375	0.2374	0.2374	0.2364	0.2826
κ(AUTOGRILL)	0.1602	0.1600	0.1598	0.1583	0.1628
λ (AUTOGRILL)	0.7983	0.7982	0.7981	0.7980	0.7842
ω(AUTOSTRADE)	0.1113	0.1110	0.1112	0.1111	0.1433
κ(AUTOSTRADE)	0.1716	0.1710	0.1705	0.1675	0.1456
λ (AUTOSTRADE)	0.7793	0.7798	0.7800	0.7821	0.8073
ω(FIDEURAM)	0.0903	0.0907	0.0916	0.0957	0.1695
κ(FIDEURAM)	0.0607	0.0607	0.0607	0.0606	0.0648
λ (FIDEURAM)	0.9277	0.9276	0.9274	0.9265	0.9147
ω(BNL)	0.2735	0.2735	0.2735	0.2717	0.3128
κ(BNL)	0.1129	0.1127	0.1123	0.1105	0.1063
λ (BNL)	0.8429	0.8430	0.8431	0.8440	0.8444
ω(BENETTON)	0.0530	0.0530	0.0530	0.0521	0.0558
κ(BENETTON)	0.0410	0.0410	0.0409	0.0403	0.0379
λ (BENETTON)	0.9441	0.9441	0.9442	0.9448	0.9506
ω(ENI)	0.0334	0.0335	0.0337	0.0347	0.0513
κ(ENI)	0.0577	0.0577	0.0575	0.0568	0.0527
λ (ENI)	0.9318	0.9318	0.9317	0.9315	0.9307

ω(FINMECCANICA)	0.0784	0.0786	0.0788	0.0804	0.1256
κ(FINMECCANICA)	0.0913	0.0912	0.0909	0.0899	0.0847
λ (FINMECCANICA)	0.9002	0.9002	0.9001	0.8999	0.8945
ω(GENERALI)	0.0660	0.0661	0.0663	0.0675	0.0960
κ(GENERALI)	0.1131	0.1130	0.1128	0.1120	0.1159
λ (GENERALI)	0.8700	0.8699	0.8698	0.8690	0.8547
ω(BANCA INTESA)	0.0902	0.0900	0.0897	0.0884	0.0848
κ(BANCA INTESA)	0.0917	0.0916	0.0914	0.0907	0.0900
λ (BANCA INTESA)	0.8972	0.8972	0.8973	0.8973	0.8980
ω(MEDIASET)	0.0603	0.0602	0.0601	0.0594	0.0595
κ(MEDIASET)	0.0636	0.0634	0.0631	0.0620	0.0570
λ (MEDIASET)	0.9291	0.9291	0.9292	0.9298	0.9336
ω(MEDIOBANCA)	0.0770	0.0769	0.0769	0.0767	0.0836
κ(MEDIOBANCA)	0.1436	0.1434	0.1431	0.1420	0.1521
λ (MEDIOBANCA)	0.8403	0.8404	0.8404	0.8407	0.8370
ω(MEDIOLANUM)	0.1446	0.1446	0.1445	0.1439	0.1441
κ(MEDIOLANUM)	0.0850	0.0850	0.0848	0.0843	0.0859
λ (MEDIOLANUM)	0.9001	0.9001	0.9000	0.8998	0.8986
ω(PIRELLI)	0.1050	0.1054	0.1060	0.1094	0.1644
κ(PIRELLI)	0.1373	0.1371	0.1366	0.1349	0.1309
λ (PIRELLI)	0.8417	0.8417	0.8419	0.8422	0.8501
ω(RAS)	0.0175	0.0175	0.0175	0.0177	0.0248
κ(RAS)	0.0653	0.0653	0.0652	0.0650	0.0726
λ (RAS)	0.9306	0.9306	0.9306	0.9303	0.9245
ω(SAIPEM)	0.3573	0.3574	0.3575	0.3581	0.4872
κ(SAIPEM)	0.1577	0.1573	0.1569	0.1545	0.1525
λ (SAIPEM)	0.7855	0.7857	0.7858	0.7867	0.7775
ω(SANPAOLO)	0.1360	0.1360	0.1360	0.1361	0.1646
κ(SANPAOLO)	0.0806	0.0805	0.0802	0.0789	0.0718
λ (SANPAOLO)	0.8983	0.8983	0.8984	0.8987	0.8996
ω(STM)	0.0553	0.0554	0.0556	0.0567	0.0743
κ(STM)	0.0594	0.0593	0.0591	0.0584	0.0557
λ (STM)	0.9387	0.9387	0.9387	0.9386	0.9386
ω(TELECOM)	0.0167	0.0167	0.0168	0.0172	0.0141
κ(TELECOM)	0.0532	0.0531	0.0529	0.0521	0.0409
λ (TELECOM)	0.9438	0.9438	0.9439	0.9442	0.9580
ω(TIM)	0.0177	0.0177	0.0178	0.0183	0.0285
κ(TIM)	0.0831	0.0830	0.0828	0.0818	0.0842
λ (TIM)	0.9168	0.9168	0.9169	0.9170	0.9130

κ(DCC)	0.0053	0.0053	0.0054	0.0054	0.0056
λ (DCC)	0.9862	0.9862	0.9862	0.9863	0.9880
log-likelihood	-54345.6	-54346.1	-54347.3	-54354.7	-55184.4

Table 1: Estimates of the DCC-MVGARCH model with 20 stocks for different conditional distributions (Student's t with DF in parenthesis and Normal).

The software allows also to plot the estimated conditional variances, correlations and covariances. Figure 1 reports all the variances, while in figures 2 and 3 the covariances and correlations of ALLEANZA with all the other stocks are sketched. It is interesting to notice that starting form September, the 11th (observation 703), the correlations between almost all the stocks increase suddenly and remain high until the beginning of 2003. This underlines the fact that after the terrorist attacks of September 1999 the investors start giving more weight to international risk factors than to the health of the companies issuing the stocks.



Figure 1: Estimated conditional variances for all the stocks.



Figure 2: Estimated conditional covariances of ALLEANZA with all the other stocks.



Figure 3: Estimated conditional correlations of ALLEANZA with all the other stocks.

References

Alexander C. (2001), *A Guide to Financial Data Analysis*, Wiley and Sons.

Bollerslev T. (1990) "Modelling the Coherence in Short Run Nominal Exchange Rates: a Multivariate Generalized ARCH Model", *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 72, 498–505.

Bollerslev T., R.F. Engle and J.M. Wooldridge (1988), "A Capital Asset Pricing Model with Time-Varying Covariances", *Journal of Political Economy*, 96, 116–131.

Ding Z. and R.F. Engle (2001), "Large Scale Conditional Covariance Matrix Modeling, Estimation and Testing", *Academia Economic Papers*, 29(2), 157–184.

Engle R.F. (2002), "Dynamic Conditional Correlation: a Simple Class of Multivariate Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity Models", *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 20(3), 339–350.

Engle R.F. and K.F. Kroner (1995), "Multivariate simultaneous generalized ARCH", *Econometric Theory*, 11, 122–150.

Engle R.F. and K. Sheppard (2001), "Theorethical and Empirical Properties of Dynamic Conditional Correlation Multivariate GARCH", *Working Paper 8554*, National Bureau of Economic Research.

Fang K.T., S. Kotz and K.W. Ng (1990), *Symmetric Multivariate and Related Distributions*, Chapman and Hall.

Kotz S., T.J. Kozubowski and K. Podgorski (2002), "An asymmetric multivariate Laplace distribution", Technical Report No. 367, Department of Statistics and Applied Probability, University of California at Santa Barbara.

Newey W.K. and D. McFadden (1994), "Large Sample Estimation and Hypothesis Testing", in *Handbook of Econometrics*, vol. 4, Elsevier North Holland.